	STATES DISTRICT COURT CT OF MASSACHUSETTS				
Civil Action Number:					
	05-30 177 - MPN				
THERESA COFSKE,)				
Plaintiff)				
v.)				
TOWN OF WARE CONSERVATION))				
COMMISSION, JOEL HARDER,	`				
TRAVIS NICOSON, ROGER BOUC	HARD, and)				
JOHN MOTYKA,)				
Defendants)				

VERIFIED COMPLAINT WITH JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

INTRODUCTION

1. This suit represents claims for a series of wrongful employment actions, including harassment, termination, retaliation and constructive termination, that were taken against the Plaintiff by the Town of Ware Conservation Commission and certain members of that commission named as defendants in this complaint

JURISDICTION

This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1983. Plaintiff further invokes the 2. pendent jurisdiction of this Court to hear and decide claims arising under state law.

PARTIES

The Plaintiff, Theresa Cofske, is a natural person who resides within Worcester County at 3. 29 East Main Street, Gilbertville, Massachusetts:

- 4. The Defendant, Town of Ware Conservation Commission, is a governmental body duly organized under the By-Laws of the Town of Ware and charged under regulations/statutes promulgated by the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) with a business address within Hampshire County at the Ware Town Hall, 126 Main Street, Ware, MA 01082;
- The Defendant, Joel Harder, is a natural person who resides within Hampshire County at 2 5. Susan Drive, Ware, Massachusetts. This action is brought against Mr. Harder in both his individual capacity and his capacity as representative of the Town of Ware Conservation Commission;
- 6. The Defendant, Travis Nicoson, is a natural person with a business address within Hampshire County at the Ware Town Hall, 126 Main Street, Ware, MA 01082. This action is brought against Mr. Nicoson in both his individual capacity and his capacity as representative of the Town of Ware Conservation Commission;
- 7. The Defendant, Roger Bouchard, is a natural person with a business address within Hampshire County at the Ware Town Hall, 126 Main Street, Ware, MA 01082. This action is brought against Mr. Bouchard in his capacity as representative of the Town of Ware Conservation Commission:
- 8. The Defendant, John Motyka, is a natural person with a business address within Hampshire County at the Ware Town Hall, 126 Main Street, Ware, MA 01082. This action is brought against Mr. Motyka in his capacity as representative of the Town of Ware Conservation Commission;

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. On or about February 28, 2001 the Plaintiff Theresa Cofske (hereinafter, "Plaintiff") was hired as administrator/agent for the Defendant Town of Ware's Conservation Commission (hereinafter, the "Commission");
- 10. Among the members on the Commission are the Defendants, Joel Harder (hereinafter, "Defendant Harder"), the Defendant, Travis Nicoson (hereinafter, "Defendant Nicoson"), the Defendant, Roger Bouchard (hereinafter, "Defendant Bouchard"), and the Defendant, John Motyka (hereinafter, "John Motyka");
- 11. During the course of Plaintiff's employment Plaintiff perceived that the Defendants had more interest in assuring development than in following the laws and/or environmental regulations of the DEP and the Commission.
- 12. During the course of Plaintiff's employment Plaintiff engaged in activities that were required under law, required under governmental regulations, required by DEP regulations, or allowed under law, governmental regulations and/or DEP regulations. This protected activity included expressing concern that certain actions of the Defendants were not appropriate and were not consistent with the goals of the Conservation Commission;
- 13. During the course of Plaintiff's employment Plaintiff made reports that were required under law, required under governmental regulations, required by DEP regulations, or allowed under law, regulations and/or DEP regulations.
- 14. During the course of Plaintiff's employment Plaintiff was instructed to engage in activities that are illegal or disfavored under law, illegal or disfavored under governmental regulations and/or DEP regulations.

Page 4 of 23

- 15. During the course of Plaintiff's employment Plaintiff refused to engage in activities that are illegal or disfavored under law, illegal or disfavored under governmental regulations and/or DEP regulations.
- 16. The Plaintiff refused to engage in activities, including but not limited to: not filing required reports with agencies, hiding public information, altering Commission minutes by adding false statements to Commission minutes, ignoring violations of law or regulation.
- 17. In retaliation for the Plaintiff's actions as described above, the Defendants, joint and severally, conspired and retaliated against the Plaintiff in an effort in deprive the Plaintiff of her position as administrator/agent of the Commission.
- **18**. The Defendant, Harder published to third parties vile, malicious, and false accusations including but not limited to: On February 13, 2002, Defendant Joel Harder called the Plaintiff a "liar" during the course of a Commission hearing while the public was still present;
- 19. On or about August 14, 2002, the Plaintiff's employment is terminated in violation of Massachusetts Open Meeting laws:
- On or about August 20, 2002, the Plaintiff wrote a letter of complaint to Assistant District 20. Attorney, Cynthia M. Pepyne of the office of the Northwestern District Attorney detailing her termination in violation of the Open Meeting law;
- After investigation, on or about September 4, 2002 the District Attorney's office filed a 21. Complaint in Hampshire Superior Court against the Ware Conservation Commission requesting that the Court issue an order to the Commission to reinstate the Plaintiff;
- 22. Following a meeting of the Town of Ware Planning Board on or about September 10, 2002, Defendant Harder was overheard to say, "looks like the bitch is coming back," in reference

Page 5 of 23

to the Plaintiff's possible reinstatement. On or about September 13, 2002, Defendant Harder was heard as stating, "I don't care if we [Commission] are required to reinstate her [Plaintiff], we'll fire her over and over again until we get it right."

- 23. On or about September 17, 2002, the Commission voted to reinstate the employment of the Plaintiff effective September 16, 2002, however, the Commission does not provide the Plaintiff with back pay or lost wages.
- 24. On November 2, 2002, the Plaintiff sent a letter of presentment pursuant to M.G.L. c. 258 §1 et seq. to the Town of Ware asserting various statutory and tort claims;
- 25. Due to severe depression and anxiety caused by her termination and the events surrounding her termination, the Plaintiff was unable to return to work until November 13, 2002.
- 26. Upon her return to work the Plaintiff was confronted with a deliberate, systemic campaign to punish her for complying with the law and/or regulations, for asserting her rights and for filing a complaint with the District Attorney's office.
- 27. Subsequently, all job security was taken away from the Plaintiff with the Commission's efforts to eliminate the Plaintiff's position by folding the Plaintiff's duties into the Building Department's Secretary position.
- 28. On or about November 27, 2002, Defendant Harder made a motion to enter into Executive Session for the purposes of disciplining the Plaintiff based upon false accusations.
- 29. Between November 13, 2002 and March 23, 2003, the Plaintiff was faced with open hostility from the Defendants and was falsely accused of reporting Defendant Harder to the Board of Ethics.
- 30. Between November 13, 2002 and March 23, 2003, the Commission and Defendants

attempted to intimidate the Plaintiff into dropping her potential lawsuit and the claims raised in her letter of presentment;

- 31. Subsequently, the Commission and Defendants attempt to intimidate the Plaintiff to stop helping Martha Klassanos, (former Commission Chair) who was voted off the Commission on September 17, 2003, and who was pursing a Complaint at the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination (MCAD) filed on November 1, 2002 against the Town of Ware accusing the Conservation Commission of Sexual Discrimination.
- 32. Subsequently, the Plaintiff was told by the Defendant Harder that if she wanted to be considered for the full-time Building Department Secretary's position she should stop helping Martha Klassanos and drop her potential lawsuit.
- 33. The Plaintiff eventually interviewed for the position of Building Department Secretary but was treated differently than other applicants and asked different questions than other applicants. The entire interview process was a sham.
- After months of constant criticism, open hostility, stress and anxiety the Plaintiff submitted 34. a lengthy resignation on May 26, 2003.

COUNT I TERMINATION (AUGUST 14, 2002) IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY

- The Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 35. through 34 of this complaint and incorporates the same herein by reference;
- 36. As a result of the Defendants' wrongful and malicious actions and in violation of public policy -- the Plaintiff having engaged in legal activities and the Plaintiff refusing to engage in illegal activity -- the Plaintiff's employment was terminated in violation of public policy on August

6

14, 2002;

37. As a result of these intentional, wilful, knowing, malicious and purposeful violations the Plaintiff suffered the loss of her employment, loss of wages and benefits, suffered great strain, hardship, emotional distress and anxiety, was caused painful physical and mental suffering, was subjected to humiliation, and was caused to seek medical attention.

COUNT II CONSTRUCTIVE TERMINATION (MAY 26, 2003) IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY

- 38. The Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 37 of this complaint and incorporates the same herein by reference;
- 39. As a result of the Defendants' wrongful and malicious actions the Plaintiff's employment was constructively terminated in violation of public policy -- the Plaintiff having engaged in legal activities and the Plaintiff refusing to engage in illegal activity and the retaliation for having so engaged -- on May 26, 2003;
- As a result of these intentional, wilful, knowing, malicious and purposeful violations the 40. Plaintiff suffered the loss of her employment, loss of wages and benefits, suffered great strain, hardship, and anxiety, was caused painful physical and mental suffering, was subjected to humiliation, and was caused to seek medical attention.

COUNT III VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. §1983 - WRONGFUL TERMINATION AND HARASSMENT BASED UPON PLAINTIFF'S EXERCISE OF FREE EXPRESSION

- 41. The Plaintiff hereby repeats and reallege the allegations contained in paragraph 1 through paragraph 40 of this complaint and incorporates the same herein by reference;
- 42. As a result of the Defendants' actions under color of law, the Plaintiff's civil rights to

exercise free expression were interfered with and the Plaintiff was deprived of her rights to employment because of her exercise of free expression;

43. As a result of these intentional, wilful, knowing, malicious and purposeful violations of the Plaintiff civil rights under 42 U.S.C. §1983 the Plaintiff suffered the deprivation of her rights and job and was forced to suffer great strain, hardship, and anxiety, was caused painful physical and mental suffering, was subjected to humiliation, and was caused to seek medical attention.

COUNT IV

<u>VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. §1983 - RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF RIGHT TO</u> <u>SEEK REDRESS FOR WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN COURTS</u>

- 44. The Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraph 1 through paragraph 43 of this complaint and incorporates the same herein by reference;
- As a result of the Defendants' actions under color of law, the Plaintiff's civil rights were interfered with, attempted to be interfered with, and that interference or attempted interference was by threats, intimidation or coercion;
- As a result of these intentional, wilful, knowing, malicious and purposeful violations of the Plaintiff civil rights under 42 U.S.C. §1983, specifically the illegal retaliation against the Plaintiff after having asserted her rights by complaining to the District Attorney, the Plaintiff suffered the deprivation of her rights and was forced to suffer great strain, hardship, and anxiety, was caused painful physical and mental suffering, was subjected to humiliation, and was caused to seek medical attention.

COUNT V

VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. §1983 - DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS/DEPRIVED OF PROPERTY INTEREST IN PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT PRIOR TO AUGUST 14, 2002 **TERMINATION**

- 47. The Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 41 of this complaint and incorporates the same herein by reference;
- As a result of the Defendants' actions under color of law, the Plaintiff's due process rights 48. were interfered with, attempted to be interfered with, and that interference or attempted interference was by threats, intimidation or coercion and the Plaintiff was deprived of a property interest in public employment with the termination of said public employment on August 14, 2002;
- As a result of these intentional, wilful, knowing, malicious and purposeful violations of the 49. Plaintiff civil rights under 42 U.S.C. §1983, the Plaintiff suffered the deprivation of her rights and a loss of public employment, wages and benefits, was forced to suffer great strain, hardship, and anxiety, was caused painful physical and mental suffering, was subjected to humiliation, and was caused to seek medical attention.

COUNT VI

VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. §1983 - DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS/DEPRIVED OF PROPERTY INTEREST IN PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT PRIOR TO MAY 26, 2003 **TERMINATION**

- 50. The Plaintiff hereby repeats and reallege the allegations contained in paragraph 1 through paragraph 49 of this complaint and incorporates the same herein by reference;
- As a result of the Defendants' actions under color of law, the Plaintiff's due process rights 51. were interfered with, attempted to be interfered with, and that interference or attempted interference was by threats, intimidation or coercion and the Plaintiff was deprived of a property

interest in public employment with the constructive termination of said public employment on May 26, 2003;

52. As a result of these intentional, wilful, knowing, malicious and purposeful violations the Plaintiff civil rights under 42 U.S.C. §1983 the Plaintiff suffered the deprivation of her rights and a loss of public employment, wages and benefits, was forced to suffer great strain, hardship, and anxiety, was caused painful physical and mental suffering, was subjected to humiliation, and was caused to seek medical attention.

COUNT VII CIVIL CONSPIRACY

- 53. The Plaintiff hereby repeats and reallege the allegations contained in paragraph 1 through paragraph 52 of this complaint and incorporates the same herein by reference;
- 54. As a result of the Defendants' actions in conspiracy, under color of law and otherwise, the Plaintiff's due process rights were interfered with, attempted to be interfered with, and that interference or attempted interference was by threats, intimidation or coercion and the Plaintiff was deprived of a property interest in public employment with the termination of her public employment;
- 55. As a result of this intentional, wilful, knowing, malicious and purposeful conspiracy the Plaintiff suffered the deprivation of her rights and a loss of public employment, wages and benefits, was forced to suffer great strain, hardship, and anxiety, was caused painful physical and mental suffering, was subjected to humiliation, and was caused to seek medical attention.

COUNT VIII DEFAMATION

- The Plaintiff hereby repeats and reallege the allegations contained in paragraph 1 through paragraph 55 of this complaint and incorporates the same herein by reference;
- 57. As a result of the Defendants' defamatory words and statements the Plaintiff was deprived of a property interest in public employment with the termination of her public employment;
- As a result of these intentional, wilful, knowing, malicious and purposeful action the Plaintiff suffered the a loss of public employment, wages and benefits, was forced to suffer great strain, hardship, and anxiety, was caused painful physical and mental suffering, was subjected to humiliation, and was caused to seek medical attention.

COUNT IX VIOLATION OF M.G.L.c. 149, § 19 (ILLEGAL INTERFERENCE WITH EMPLOYMENT RELATIONSHIP)

- 59. The Plaintiff hereby repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraph 1 through paragraph 58 of this complaint and incorporates the same herein by reference;
- As a result of the Defendants' actions to prevent the Plaintiff from entering into or continuing in her employment, the Plaintiff's continued employment was interfered with, attempted to be interfered with, and terminated, and that interference, attempted interference and termination was by threats, intimidation or coercion;
- As a result of these intentional, wilful, knowing, malicious and purposeful actions the Plaintiff suffered the deprivation of her rights and a loss of public employment, wages and benefits, was forced to suffer great strain, hardship, and anxiety, was caused painful physical and mental suffering, was subjected to humiliation, and was caused to seek medical attention.

COUNT X VIOLATION OF M.G.L.c. 149, § 185 (WHISTLE BLOWING)

- 62. The Plaintiff hereby repeats and reallege the allegations contained in paragraph 1 through paragraph 61 of this complaint and incorporates the same herein by reference;
- 63. As a result of the Defendants' actions to prevent the Plaintiff from disclosing to a supervisor or to a public body an activity, policy or practice that the Plaintiff reasonably believed was in violation of a law, or a rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to law, or which the Plaintiff reasonably believed posed a risk to public health, safety or the environment, the Plaintiff's continued employment was interfered with, attempted to be interfered with, and terminated, and that interference, attempted interference and termination was by threats, intimidation or coercion;
- 64. As a result of the Defendants' actions to prevent the Plaintiff from objecting to, or refusing to participate in an activity, policy or practice which the Plaintiff reasonably believed was in violation of a law, or a rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to law, or which the Plaintiff reasonably believed posed a risk to public health, safety or the environment, the Plaintiff's continued employment was interfered with, attempted to be interfered with, and terminated, and that interference, attempted interference and termination was by threats, intimidation or coercion;
- 65. As a result of these intentional, wilful, knowing, malicious and retaliatory actions the Plaintiff suffered the deprivation of her rights and a loss of public employment, wages and benefits, was forced to suffer great strain, hardship, and anxiety, was caused painful physical and mental suffering, was subjected to humiliation, and was caused to seek medical attention.

COUNT XI TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACTUAL OR ADVANTAGEOUS RELATIONS

- The Plaintiff hereby repeats and reallege the allegations contained in paragraph 1 through paragraph 65 of this complaint and incorporates the same herein by reference;
- As a result of the Defendants' actions to prevent the Plaintiff from disclosing to a supervisor or to a public body an activity, policy or practice that the Plaintiff reasonably believed was in violation of a law, or a rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to law, or which the Plaintiff reasonably believed posed a risk to public health, safety or the environment, the Plaintiff's continued employment was tortiously interfered with, attempted to be interfered with, and terminated;
- As a result of the Defendants' actions to prevent the Plaintiff from providing information to a public body conducting an investigation, hearing or inquiry into any violation of law, or a rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to law, or activity, policy or practice which the Plaintiff reasonably believed poses a risk to public health, safety or the environment by the employer, the Plaintiff's continued employment was interfered with, attempted to be interfered with, and terminated, and the Plaintiff's continued employment was tortiously interfered with, attempted to be interfered with, and terminated.
- 69. As a result of the Defendants' actions to prevent the Plaintiff from objecting to, or refusing to participate in an activity, policy or practice which the Plaintiff reasonably believed was in violation of a law, or a rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to law, or which the Plaintiff reasonably believed posed a risk to public health, safety or the environment, the Plaintiff's

continued employment was interfered with, attempted to be interfered with, and terminated, and the Plaintiff's continued employment was tortiously interfered with, attempted to be interfered with, and terminated.

70. As a result of these intentional, wilful, knowing, malicious and tortious actions the Plaintiff suffered the deprivation of her rights and a loss of public employment, wages and benefits, was forced to suffer great strain, hardship, and anxiety, was caused painful physical and mental suffering, was subjected to humiliation, and was caused to seek medical attention.

COUNT XII INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- The Plaintiff hereby repeats and reallege the allegations contained in paragraph 1 through 71. paragraph 72 of this complaint and incorporates the same herein by reference:
- 72. As a result of the Defendant's extreme and outrageous actions as detailed above, the Defendants intentionally inflicted severe emotional distress on the Plaintiff;
- As a result the Plaintiff was forced to suffer emotional distress, great strain, hardship, and 73. anxiety, was caused painful physical and mental suffering, was subjected to humiliation, and was caused to seek medical attention.

COUNT XIII NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- The Plaintiff hereby repeats and reallege the allegations contained in paragraph 1 through 74. paragraph 73 of this complaint and incorporates the same herein by reference;
- As a result of the Defendant's actions, the Defendants negligently inflicted severe 75. emotional distress on the Plaintiff;

76. As a result the Plaintiff was forced to suffer emotional distress, great strain, hardship, and anxiety, was caused painful physical and mental suffering, was subjected to humiliation, and was caused to seek medical attention.

COUNT XIV VIOLATION OF M.G.L. c. 12 §11 H and §11 I - CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS FREEDOM OF SPEECH

- 77. The Plaintiff hereby repeats and reallege the allegations contained in paragraph 1 through paragraph 76 of this complaint and incorporates the same herein by reference;
- 78. As a result of the Defendants' actions, the Plaintiff's rights to free expression were interfered with, attempted to be interfered with, and that interference or attempted interference was by threats, intimidation or coercion and the Plaintiff was deprived of her rights to free exercise of speech and her property interest in public employment;
- As a result of these intentional, wilful, knowing, malicious and purposeful violations the 79. Plaintiff civil rights under M.G.L. c. 12 §11 H and §11 I, the Plaintiff suffered the deprivation of her rights and a loss of public employment, wages and benefits, was forced to suffer great strain, hardship, and anxiety, was caused painful physical and mental suffering, was subjected to humiliation, and was caused to seek medical attention.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against all the Defendants as follows:

On Count I:

(a) That Plaintiff be awarded compensatory damages, including damages for emotional distress, in an amount to be determined at trial;

- (b) That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (c) That the Plaintiff be awarded reasonable costs and expenses of this action, including prejudgment interest;
- (d) That the Plaintiff be awarded a reasonable allowance for attorney's fees.

On Count II:

- (a) That Plaintiff be awarded compensatory damages, including damages for emotional distress, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (b) That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (c) That the Plaintiff be awarded reasonable costs and expenses of this action, including prejudgment interest;
- (d) That the Plaintiff be awarded a reasonable allowance for attorney's fees.

On Count III:

- (a) That Plaintiff be awarded compensatory damages, including damages for emotional distress, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (b) That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (c) That the Plaintiff be awarded reasonable costs and expenses of this action, including prejudgment interest;
- (d) That the Plaintiff be awarded a reasonable allowance for attorney's fees.

On Count IV:

- (a) That Plaintiff be awarded compensatory damages, including damages for emotional distress, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (b) That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;

- (c) That the Plaintiff be awarded reasonable costs and expenses of this action, including prejudgment interest;
- (d) That the Plaintiff be awarded a reasonable allowance for attorney's fees.

On Count V:

- (a) That Plaintiff be awarded compensatory damages, including damages for emotional distress, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (b) That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (c) That the Plaintiff be awarded reasonable costs and expenses of this action, including prejudgment interest;
- (d) That the Plaintiff be awarded a reasonable allowance for attorney's fees.

On Count VI:

- (a) That Plaintiff be awarded compensatory damages, including damages for emotional distress, in an amount to be determined at trial:
- (b) That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (c) That the Plaintiff be awarded reasonable costs and expenses of this action. including prejudgment interest;
- (d) That the Plaintiff be awarded a reasonable allowance for attorney's fees.

On Count VII:

- (a) That Plaintiff be awarded compensatory damages, including damages for emotional distress, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (b) That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- That the Plaintiff be awarded reasonable costs and expenses of this action, (c)

- including prejudgment interest;
- (d) That the Plaintiff be awarded a reasonable allowance for attorney's fees.

On Count VIII:

- (a) That Plaintiff be awarded compensatory damages, including damages for emotional distress, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (b) That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (c) That the Plaintiff be awarded reasonable costs and expenses of this action, including prejudgment interest;
- (d) That the Plaintiff be awarded a reasonable allowance for attorney's fees.

On Count IX:

- (a) That Plaintiff be awarded compensatory damages, including damages for emotional distress, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (b) That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (c) That the Plaintiff be awarded reasonable costs and expenses of this action, including prejudgment interest;
- (d) That the Plaintiff be awarded a reasonable allowance for attorney's fees.

On Count X:

- (a) That Plaintiff be awarded compensatory damages, including damages for emotional distress, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (b) That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (c) That the Plaintiff be awarded reasonable costs and expenses of this action, including prejudgment interest;

(d) That the Plaintiff be awarded a reasonable allowance for attorney's fees.

On Count XI:

- (a) That Plaintiff be awarded compensatory damages, including damages for emotional distress, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (b) That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (c) That the Plaintiff be awarded reasonable costs and expenses of this action, including prejudgment interest;
- (d) That the Plaintiff be awarded a reasonable allowance for attorney's fees.

On Count XII:

- (a) That Plaintiff be awarded compensatory damages, including damages for emotional distress, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (b) That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (c) That the Plaintiff be awarded reasonable costs and expenses of this action, including prejudgment interest;
- (d) That the Plaintiff be awarded a reasonable allowance for attorney's fees.

On Count XIII:

- (a) That Plaintiff be awarded compensatory damages, including damages for emotional distress, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (b) That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (c) That the Plaintiff be awarded reasonable costs and expenses of this action, including prejudgment interest;
- (d) That the Plaintiff be awarded a reasonable allowance for attorney's fees.

On Count XIV:

Case 3:05-cv-30177-KPN

- (a) That Plaintiff be awarded compensatory damages, including damages for emotional distress, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (b) That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
- (c) That the Plaintiff be awarded reasonable costs and expenses of this action, including prejudgment interest;
- (d) That the Plaintiff be awarded a reasonable allowance for attorney's fees.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS STATUTORY PENALTIES ON ALL APPLICABLE COUNTS PLAINTIFF DEMANDS STATUTORY INTEREST ON ALL APPLICABLE COUNTS PLAINTIFF DEMANDS JURY TRIAL ON ALL COUNTS ALLOWABLE

VERIFICATION

I, Theresa Cofske, do hereby declare that I have read the foregoing Complaint and know the contents thereof. The contents are true to my knowledge except to those matters that are alleged on information and belief, as to those maters, I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on this 28 day of 2005 2004 in Hampshire County, Massachusetts.

THERESA COFSKE

alophe

Respectfully submitted,

THE PLAINTIFF, THERESA COFSKE

By her attorney,

Alfred P. Chamberland

BBØ#: 564151

9 Campus Lane -- PO Box 217

Easthampton, MA 01027

(413) 529-0404

JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

(a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	TOWN OF C	WARE CONSERVATION
THERES	A COFSK	Œ				L HARDER,
				TRAVIS I	VICOSON.	ROGER BOUCHARD,
<i>a</i> >				COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF	OTYKA	
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF	F FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE	:C)		i	FIRST USTED DEFENDANT N U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	CONINO 2
(EXCEP1	IN U.S. PEXINTIFF CASE	.G)		· ·	NATION CASES, USE THE LOCA	•
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, A	ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NU	MBER)		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)	·····	
ALFRED (P. CHAMBE	RLAND	>		•	
9 CAMPUS	LANE, P.O	BOX ZI	ノフ			
	TON, MA 01127					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI			III. CITI			ACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAIF TIFF
			`	PT	F DEF	PTF DEF
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Federal Question (U.S. Governmen	nt Not a Party)	C	itizen of This State		or Principal Place 🛛 4 🗀 4 In This State
© 2 U.S. Government Defendant	 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens in Item III) 	ship of Parties	С	itizen of Another State □		and Principal Place □ s □ s In Another State
	in term in			itizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗆 3 Foreign Natio	n □6 □6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE	BOX ONLY)				
CONTRACT	TOT	RTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL IN		☐ 810 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	0 400 State Reepportionment
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpr	ractice	625 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	10 410 Antitrust 11 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 365 Personal Inju Product Liab	bility	of Property 21 USC 881		☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc ☐ 460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	368 Asbestos Pe Injury Produ		☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs.	PROPERTY RIGHTS B20 Copyrights	Corrupt Organizations
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability	PERSONAL PRO	PERTY	☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Heaith	□ 830 Patent	☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
(Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Len		☐ 690 Other	☐ 640 Trademark	Exchange ■ 875 Customer Challenge
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Perso Property Da		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	12 USC 3410
190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liebility	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Insury	385 Property De Product Lial		☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	[] 861 HIA (1395ff) [] 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Art
REAL PROPERTY		PRISONER PE		☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
210 Land Condemnation	() 441 Voting	☐ 510 Motions to		730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	895 Freedom of Information Act
220 Foredosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment 443 Housing/	Sentence HABEAS CORP	us:	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Under Equal Access to Justice
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liebility	Accommodations D 444 Welfare	530 General 535 Death Pena		☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation	(870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	550 Constitutionality of State Statutes
290 All Other Real Property	440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 540 Mandamus ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Con		791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	or Delandant) 871 IRS — Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
V. OBIGIN		(PLACE /	AN "X" IN	ONE BOX ONLY)	·	Appeal to District
		nded from	4 Reinsta Reoper		district 🗆 a Multidistr	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO)N (CITE THE U.S. CIML STAT	TUTE UNDER WHICH	YOU ARE FI	IUNG AND WRITE BRIEF STATEM		
	DO NOT CITE JURISDICT					<i>!</i>
724.3.6.3	1483: PLAINI	TIFF WA	SHA	KASSED, KETA	LIATED AGAI	NST + WRONGFULLY
TERMINATED	FROM HER E	MPLOSMI	ENT	WITH DEFENDI	INT.	
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS IS	: A CLASS AC	TION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES	only if demanded in comple int:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 2:				JURY DEMA	AND: EYES ON!
VIII.RELATED CASE((S) (See instructions): JU	DGE	·		DOCKET NUMBER	
8-Z-20	005	SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY O	OF REODAD	Pla 1.	a o len
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			reje	secy.	Cremple	your (N)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSE/TS

	TITLE OF CASE (I	NAME OF FIRST PARTY	DN EACH SIDE	ONLY) COP	ESK	EV. NICO	70 Son	WNOF (1, BOUCH	WARE HRD, MOTYK		
<u>.</u>	CATEGORY IN W	TEGORY IN WHICH THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON THE NUMBERED NATURE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIVIL									
		(SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(
		160, 410, 470, R.23, RE	GARDLESS O	NATURE OF SU	€T.						
	11.	*Also complete AO 120 or AO 121									
	101.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 380, 385, 450, 891.	190, 210, 230, 350, 355, 360,	240, 245, 290, 31 362, 365, 370, 37	0, 1,						
	IV .	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 690, 810, 861-865, 870,	510, 530, 610, 871, 875, 900.	620, 630, 640, 65	0, 660,						
	V.	150, 152, 153.									
3.	TITLE AND NUME HAS BEEN FILED	BER, IF ANY, OF RELATE O IN THIS DISTRICT PLEA	D CASES. (SE	E LOCAL RULE THE TITLE AND I	40.1(G)). NUMBER	IF MORE TO	HAN O	NE PRIOR RELAT LED CASE IN THIS	ED CASE COURT.		
4.	HAS A PRIOR ACCOURT?	TION BETWEEN THE SA	ME PARTIES /	ND BASED ON T	HE SAM	E CLAIM EV	/ER BE	EN FILED IN THIS	i.		
					YES		NO				
5.	DOES THE COMP PUBLIC INTERES	PLAINT IN THIS CASE QU ST? (SEE 28 USC §2403	JESTION THE	CONSTITUTIONA	LITY OF	AN ACT OF	CONG	RESS AFFECTING	S THE		
	IF SO, IS THE U.S	S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AG	ENT OR EMP	OYEE OF THE U		RTY?	NO				
6.	IS THIS CASE RE 28 USC §2284?	EQUIRED TO BE HEARD.	AND DETERM	NED BY A DISTR	ICT COU	IRT OF THR	EE JUC	GES PURSUANT	TO TITLE		
	28 030 92204				YES		NO	N			
7.	COMMONWEAL	PARTIES IN THIS ACTION THE PARTIES IN THIS ACTION THE PARTIES IN THE PARTIES ACTION TO PARTIES AND PAR	6 ("GOVERNM	G GOVERNMENT ENTAL AGENCIE	AL AGEI S"), RES	NCIES OF T SIDING IN M	HE UNI	TED STATES AND CHUSETTS RESID) THE E IN THE		
	SAME DIVISION	(occ cooncinos.			YES		NO	9			
	A .	IF YES, IN WHICH DIV	ISION DO ALL	OF THE NON-GO	VERNM	ENTAL PAR	ITIES R	ESIDE?			
	• **	EASTERN DIVISION		CENTRAL DIVI	•			STERN DIVISION			
	В.	IF NO, IN WHICH DIVI GOVERNMENTAL AG	SION DO THE ENCIES, RES	MAJORITY OF TH DING IN MASSA	HE PLAIN CHUSET	ITIFFS OR T TS RESIDE	THE ON ?	LY PARTIES, EXC	CLUDING		
		EASTERN DIVISION		CENTRAL DIVI	sion		WE	STERN DIVISION	Ø		
•	PLEASE TYPE OR I	41505	D P.	CHHI	n.B.E	RLI	101	>			
	DORESS 2	CAMPUS	LAN	F, P.C) . <i>[</i> .	30X.	21	7 EASTH	HMPTUN)		
	ELEPHONE NO.	(4/3) 52	9-09	104		<u>-</u>			_AnA		
				•					0102		